#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 210 452

CE 03C 620

AUTHOR TITLE Everett, Susan F.: Williams, David I. Management Activities Applied in Agricultural Teacher

. Education.

PUE DATE

NOTE

11p.: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Vocational Association (Atlanta, GA,

December 1981).

EDFS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MFO1/PCO1 Plus Postage.
Administrative Organization: \*Administrator
Attitudes: \*Agricultural Education: Lemcgraphy:
Higher Education: Leadership: Management Systems:
National Surveys: Organization: Personnel Evaluation:
Personnel Management: Planning: \*Preservice Teacher
Education: Program Administration: Frogram Content:
Program Development: Program Evaluation: Program
Implementation: Questionnaires: Staff Levelopment:
Staff Orientation: Staff Utilization: Supervision:
Teacher Attitudes: \*Teacher Education Frograms.
\*Management Practices

IDENTIFIERS .

AESTRACT

A study examined the level of importance and the level of implementation of management functions and activities in agricultural teacher education programs as perceived by program leaders and program staff. One hundred respondents (cne program leader and one program staff member from each of fifty four-year institutions throughout the United States) completed a two-part mail questionnaire Solicited by the questionnaire was demographical information about the population of program leaders, staff, their agricultural education programs, and their institutions as well as information pertaining to the level of importance and the level of implementation of five management functions, including planning, organizing, staffing, directing, and controlling. Each of these functions contained four management activities for a total of 20 items rated using a nine-point Likert-type rating scale. Agricultural teacher education program leaders and staff agreed that all five management functions and the 20 management activities were important and were being implemented in their respective programs. The respondents also generally agreed that planning and staffing were the most important functions. Also considered to be of prime importance were planning program goals and policies and securing support for planned programs. Recommendations were made calling for further studies. (MN)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

TO REPRODUCE THES BEEN GRANTED

PERMISSION TO MATERIAL HAS E

# MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES APPLIED IN AGRICULTURAL TEACHER EDUCATION

Susan F. Everett (Moore)
Ass't. Professor Agric. Educ.
Iowa State University

· David L. Williams Professor Agric. Educ. Iowa State University

#### INTRODUCTION/NEED FOR THE STUDY

Management plays an important role in all phases of society. Emphasis in management functions and leader responsibilities, however, have centered around business and industry and have been very limited in the academic field. Furthermore, a very limited amount of research has been conducted in higher education, particularly agricultural education, concerning the perceived importance and implementation of management functions and activities found basic to the managerial process of industry.

This study supplied information that will help in the effort to narrow the gap that exists between professional managerial knowledge and its application to agricultural teacher education in the university setting (Everett, 1981).

#### OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary objective of the study was to determine the level of importance and the level of implementation of management functions and activities in agricultural teacher education programs as perceived by program leaders and program staff. Specific objectives were to:

- 1. Identify selected characteristics of agricultural teacher education program leaders, program staff, institutions and agricultural teacher education programs.
- 2. Compare the program leaders' perceptions of the level of importance and the level of implementation of management functions and activities in agricultural teacher education programs with the staffs' perceptions of the level of importance and the level of implementation of management functions and activities in agricultural teacher education programs.
- 3. Determine and compare the rank order of the importance of agricultural teacher education program management functions and selected management activities as perceived by program leaders and program staff.

### METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The population of the study was comprised of agricultural teacher educators employed in four-year institutions in the United States which consisted of at least three individuals, including one program leader and two staff persons who met selected criteria. US DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE "position or policy



Figure 1: Summary of R. Alec Mackenzie's Management Model\* (Mackenzie, 1969)

PLAN: Predetermine a course of action

Forecast - Establish where present course will lead

Set Objectives - Determine desired end results

Develop Strategies - Decide how & when to achieve goals

Program - Establish priority, sequence & timing of steps

Budget - Allocate resources

Set Procedures - Standardize methods

Develop Policies - Make standing decisions on important recurring

matters

ORGANIZE: Arrange & relate work for effective accomplishment of objectives
Establish Organization Structure - Draw up organization chart
Delineate Relationships - Define liaison lines to facilitate
coordination
Create Position Descriptions - Define scope, relationships,
responsibilities, & authority
Establish Position Qualifications - Define qualifications for
persons in each position

STAFF: Choose competent people for positions in organization Select - Recruit qualified people for each position Orient - Familiarize new people with the situation Train - Make proficient by instruction § practice . Develop - Help improve knowledge, attitudes & skills

DIRECT: Bring about purposeful action toward desired objectives

Delegate - Assign responsibility & exact accountability for results

Motivate - Persuade and inspire people to take desired action

Coordinate - Relate efforts in most effective combination

Manage Differences - Encourage independent thought & resolve conflict

Manage Change - Stimulate creativity & innovation in achieving goals

CONTROL: Ensure progress toward objectives according to plan'
Establish Reporting System - Determine what critical data are
needed, how & when
Develop Performance Standards - Set conditions that will exist
when key duties are well done
Measure Results - Ascertain extent of deviation from goals &
standards
Take Corrective Action - Adjust plans, counsel to attain standards,
replan & repeat cycle

Reward - Praise, remunerate & discipline

<sup>\*</sup>These five management functions were diagramed by Mackenzie to generally be a sequential process

organized on the semester system. The largest percentage of the programs were titled Agricultural Education, were organized as a department within a college, and were primarily administered through the College of Agriculture. The magority, besides offering a B.S. degree, offered a Master's degree, thesis and a Master's degree, non-thesis. Only one-third offered the Ed. Specialist and Ed.D. degrees, with just less than one-third offering the Ph.D. degree. Student enrollment ranged as high as 202 for primary undergraduates, with a mean of 87; 0-32 for master's, on-campus with a mean of 8.5. The mean number of undergraduate agricultural education credits offered was 18.6 semester hours. Graduate agricultural education credits offered averaged around 20 semester hours. These programs employed an average of 5 staff persons with an average of 3 persons employed on some type of graduate assistantship.

The largest percentage of the program leaders were titled department head; were employed in their position 7 years; had taught high school vocational agriculture 7 years; and were program leaders in the same state where they obtained their high school teaching experience.

The program staff group consisted of approximately one-third each of professors, associate professors and assistant professors, with the majority having 100 percent of their time budgeted for agricultural education. They also averaged a mean of 7 years high school teaching experience with the majority currently employed in the same state where they had obtained such experience.

When comparing the program leaders perception with the staffs' perception (paired by institutions) of the level of importance of the combined activities of each management function, none of the functions nor the total combined management score were found significant at the .05 level. When the level of importance of each of the 20 management activities under the four management functions were compared between program leaders and staff (paired by institutions), none were found significant at the .05 level. These findings are presented in Table 1.

When comparing the level of implementation between the program leaders and the program staff (paired by institutions), three of the functions, staffing, directing and controlling, as well as the total combined management score, were found significant at the .05 level. The staff rated the level of implementation significantly lower in all four cases. When the level of implementation of each of the 20 management activities were compared between program leaders and staff (paired by institutions), eight of the 20 management activities were found significant at the .05 level, all of which were rated lower by the staff. These findings are presented in Table 2/.

When comparing the rank order of the importance of the five management functions, both the program leaders and staff ranked staffing and planning of greatest importance, followed by organizing, directing and controlling. When the ten management activities were ranked, both the program leaders and staff agreed that planning program goals, objectives and policies annually was the most important of the ten management activities. Securing support for planned programs was also

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

organized on the semester system. The largest percentage of the programs were titled Agricultural Education, were organized as a department within a college, and were primarily administered through the College of Agriculture. The magority, besides offering a B.S. degree, offered a Master's degree, thesis and a Master's degree, non-thesis. Only one-third offered the Ed. Specialist and Ed.D. degrees, with just less than one-third offering the Ph.D. degree. Student enrollment ranged as high as 202 for primary undergraduates, with a mean of 87; 0-32 for master's, on-campus with a mean of 8.5. The mean number of undergraduate agricultural education credits offered was 18.6 semester hours. Graduate agricultural education credits offered averaged around 20 semester hours. These programs employed an average of 5 staff persons with an average of 3 persons employed on some type of graduate assistantship.

The largest percentage of the program leaders were titled department head; were employed in their position 7 years; had taught high school vocational agriculture 7 years; and were program leaders in the same state where they obtained their high school teaching experience.

The program staff group consisted of approximately one-third each of professors, associate professors and assistant professors, with the majority having 100 percent of their time budgeted for agricultural education. They also averaged a mean of 7 years high school teaching experience with the majority currently employed in the same state where they had obtained such experience.

When comparing the program leaders perception with the staffs' perception (paired by institutions) of the level of importance of the combined activities of each management function, none of the functions nor the total combined management score were found significant at the .05 level. When the level of importance of each of the 20 management activities under the four management functions were compared between program leaders and staff (paired by institutions), none were found significant at the .05 level. These findings are presented in Table 1.

When comparing the level of implementation between the program leaders and the program staff (paired by institutions), three of the functions, staffing, directing and controlling, as well as the total combined management score, were found significant at the .05 level. The staff rated the level of implementation significantly lower in all four cases. When the level of implementation of each of the 20 management activities were compared between program leaders and staff (paired by institutions), eight of the 20 management activities were found significant at the .05 level, all of which were rated lower by the staff. These findings are presented in Table 2/.

When comparing the rank order of the importance of the five management functions, both the program leaders and staff ranked staffing and planning of greatest importance, followed by organizing, directing and controlling. When the ten management activities were ranked, both the program leaders and staff agreed that planning program goals, objectives and policies annually was the most important of the ten management activities. Securing support for planned programs was also

ERIC\*

Table 1. The Level of Importance of Management Functions and Activities in Agricultural Education Programs as Rated by Program Leaders and Program Staff Paired by Institutions

	Level of Importan	nce		•	,
. •		,	Program Leaders	Program Staff	
Manager	ment Activities	ħ	Mean S.D.	Mean S.D.	T-Value
Planni	ng (Combined Activities)	49	$\frac{8.01}{0.93}$	$\frac{8.03}{0.80}$	- 0.11
1.	Develop long range program	49	$\frac{8.04}{1.10}$	$\frac{8.27}{0.91}$	- 1.14
2.`	Establish program objectives	49	$\frac{8.08}{1.15}$	$\frac{8.29}{1.02}$	- 0.86
» 3. <sup>7</sup>	Formulate written program policies	49	7. <b>7</b> 1 1.16	$\frac{7.43}{1.23}$	1.22
4.	Prepare the program budget	49	$\frac{8.20}{1.29}$	$\frac{8.14}{1.17}$	0.26
Organi	zing (Combined Activities)	<sub>*</sub> 48	7.57	7.47	. 0.53
5.	Establish an organizational structure for programs	49	$\frac{7.33}{1.38}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.12 \\ \hline 1.64 \end{array}$	0.66
6.	Define responsibilities and authority of staff	49	$\frac{7.84}{1.33}$	$\frac{7.82}{1.27}$	0.09 '
7.	Develop descriptions for positions	49	$\frac{7.45}{1.50}$ .	$\frac{7.29}{1.68}$	0.60
8.	Establish qualifications for positions	48	$\frac{7.75}{1.30}$	$\frac{7.60}{1.55}$	0.68
Staffi	ng (Combined Activities)	47 ,	7.99-	<u>7.94</u> 0.78	0.24
- 9.	Select qualified persons for available positions	49 .	$\frac{8.61}{0.91}$	$\frac{8.76}{0.60}$	- 0.87
.10.	Acquaint new persons with institution and program	48	$\frac{7.73}{1.30}$ :	$\frac{7.75}{1.25}$	- 0.07
. 11;,	Supervise Staff in performing new tasks	49	$\frac{7.63}{1.25}$	$\frac{7.39}{1.26}$	0.86
.12.	Plan ways for staff to develop professionally	48.	$\frac{8.06}{1.04}$	$\frac{7.77}{1.31}$	116

Table 1. (continued)

	Level of Ampor	tance			, ,
•			. Program Leaders		
Management Activities		N	Mean S.D.	Mean S.D.	: T-Value
Directing (Combine	ed Activities)	. 49	, <u>7.85</u> 1.04	7.72	0.67
13. Coordinate activities	departmental	• 49	$\frac{8.16}{0.99}$	$\frac{8.14}{1.06}$	0.10
14. Mòtivate 6	taff	49	7.92 1.35	$\frac{7.74}{1.48}$	9.64 ,
15. Resolve di staff	fferences among	49	$\frac{7.27}{1.60}$	$\frac{6.94}{1.84}$	0.98
16. Encourage	creative efforts	49	$\frac{8.06}{1.13}$	$\frac{8.06}{0.97}$	0.00
Controlling (Comb	ined Activities)	49	$\frac{7.73}{0.90}$	$\frac{7.70}{1.17}$	0.13
17. Develop evo	aluation criteria	, 49 , .	$\frac{7.37}{1.20}$	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{7.41}{1.46} \end{array}$	- 0.16
18. Assess pro	gress toward jectives	49	$\frac{7.69}{1.18}$	$\frac{7.90}{1.30}$	- 1.06
19. Evaluate s	taff performance	49	$\frac{7.88}{1.03}$	$\frac{7.74}{1.38}$	0.64
20. Revise pro on evaluat	gram plans based ion	49	$\frac{7.96}{0.96}$	$\frac{7.78}{1.30}$	0.91
Total	• .	47 •	$\frac{7.80}{0.79}$	$\frac{7.75}{0.81}$	0.29

Table 2. The Level of Implementation of Management Functions and Activities in Agricultural Education Programs as Rated by Program Leaders and Program Staff Paired by Institutions

	Level of Imple	ment			-
•		,	Program <b>L</b> eaderș	Program Staff	
lanage	ment Activities	N -	Mean S.D.	Mean S.M.	T-Value
lanni	ng (Combined Activities)	48	$\frac{6.95}{1.24}$	$\frac{6.53}{1.45}$	1.48
, 1;	Develop long range program goals	49.	$\frac{6.71}{1.43}$	$\frac{6.41}{1.99}$	₽.80
2.	Establish program objectives ,	49	$\frac{7.18}{1.63}$	$\frac{7.08}{1.77}$	0.29
3.	Formulate written program policies	49	$\frac{6.39}{1.63}$	$\frac{5.78}{1.94}$	1.64
4.	Prepare the program budget	48	7.67 1.86	$\frac{6.85}{2.23}$	2.13*
rgani	zing (Combined Activities)	48	$\frac{6.94}{1.22}$	$\frac{6.46}{1.40}$	.1.74
5.	Establish an organize- tional structure for pro- gram	49	$\frac{7.06}{1.38}$	$\frac{6.76}{1.52}$	0.98
6.	Define responsibilities and authority of staff	49	$\frac{7.12}{1.54}$ .	$\frac{6.25}{1.81}$	2.49*
7.	Develop descriptions for positions	49	$\frac{6.59}{1.63}$	$\frac{6.04}{2.24}$	/1.39
8.	Establish qualifications for positions	48	7.04	$\frac{6.79}{1.83}$	0.69
Staff	ing (Combined Activities)	48	$\frac{31}{0.98}$	$\frac{6.71}{1.13}$	2.79*
9'.	Select qualified persons for available positions	49	$\frac{8.16}{1.09}$	$\frac{7.98}{1.20}$	0.79
10.	Acquaint new persons with institution and program	48	$\frac{7.31}{1.46}$	$\frac{6.58}{1.93}$	2.13*
, 11 <sup>'</sup> .	Supervise staff in performing new tasks	49	$\frac{6.92}{1.34}$	$\frac{6.10}{1.31}$	3.08*
12.		49	$\frac{6.90}{1.33}$	$\frac{6.27}{1.80}$	2.11

Table 2. (continued)

	Level of Impl	ement	ati	.on		
				Program Le <b>ad</b> ers	Program Staff	
Manage	ment Activities	N		Mean S.D.	.Mean S.D.	T-Value
Direct	ing (Combined Activities)	48		$\frac{7.10}{1.12}$	6.46. 1.51	2,40*
13.	Coordinate departmental activities	49		$\frac{7.47}{1.19}$	$\frac{7.08}{1.58}$	1.43
. 14.	Motivate staff	48		$\frac{6.94}{1.33}$ .	$\frac{6.40}{1.78}$ .	1.92
15.	Resolve differences among staff	48		$\frac{7.08}{1.70}$	5.88 2.09	2.98*
16.	Encourage creative efforts	49		$\frac{6.92}{1.27}$	$\frac{6.47}{1.95}$	1.42
Contro	lling (Combined Activities)	49		$\frac{6.86}{1.12}$	$\frac{6.23}{1.32}$	3.07*
. 17.	Develop evaluation criteria or standards .	49 •		$\frac{6.55}{1.53}$	$\frac{5.92}{1.71}$	·2.08*
. 18.	Assess progress toward program objectives	49	•	$\frac{6.80}{1.47} \cdot$	$\frac{6.31}{1.57}$	1.86
19.	Evaluate staff performance	49		$\frac{7.14}{1.63}$	$\frac{6.65}{1.73}$	1.70
· 20.	Revise program plans based on evaluation	49		$\frac{6.94}{1.33}$	$\frac{6.02}{1.66}$	3.30*
Total		47		$\frac{7.02}{0.89}$	$\frac{6.47}{1.02}$	2.81*

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at the .05 level of probability '

considered very important by both groups. Organizing and using a program advisory committee and instructing new persons about policies and procedures were agreed by both groups to be of the least importance in the management of an agricultural education program. The findings are presented in Table 3.

## Canclusions

From this study it was concluded that:

- 1. The agricultural teacher education program leaders and staff agreed that the industry and business management functions of planning, organizing, staffing, directing, and controlling were important to the management of agricultural teacher education programs.
- 2. The agricultural teacher education program leaders and staff agreed that the management functions and activities found in industry and business were being implemented in the management of agricultural teacher education programs.
- 3. The agricultural education program leaders and staff agreed that all five management functions and the 20 management activities were of somewhat high importance in managing an agricultural teacher education program.
- 4. The agricultural education program leaders believed that the management functions of staffing, directing, and controlling, and management activities in all five functional areas, were implemented at a higher level in their agricultural teacher education programs than did the staff respondents:
- 5. Both the agricultural teacher education program leaders and staff generally agreed the functions of planning and staffing were the most important functions.
- 6. Both the agricultural teacher education program leaders and staff agreed that planning program goals, objectives and policies, and securing support for planned programs were of more importance than the management activities of organizing and using an advisory committee and instructing new persons about policies and procedures.

## Recommendations.

The following recommendations are made for further research:

- 1. Further studies should be conducted on the methods and means to perform the functions and activities of management in agricultural teacher education.
- . 2. Further studies should be conducted, concentrating on the individual functions, such as planning, staffing, etc.

Table 3. Weighted Scores of Agricultural Teacher Education Program
Management Activities as Ranked by Program Leaders and Program
Staff

,			ghted.Ma activity			
Man	agement Activities		nder		aff.	
1.	Organize and use a program advisory committee	184	(9)**	193	(9)**	
2.	Plan program goals, objectives and policies annually	423	( <u>1</u> )	405	(1)	
3.	Secure support for planned programs	328	(3)	350	(2)	
	Coordinate assignments to compliment staff .expertise	318	(4)	340	(3)	
5.	Instruct new persons about policies and procedures	148	(10),	167.	(10)	
6.	Conduct regular staff meeting	207	(8)	215	(6)	
7.	Inform staff of program activities and new developments	234	.(6) `_,	249	(5)	
8.	Provide an environment for creative efforts by staff	331	(2)	312	(4)	
9.	Devjelop a plan for staff improvement	212	(7)	204	(7)	
<u>ko.</u>	Redognize staff achievements	243	(5)	203	(8)	

<sup>\*</sup>For presentation purposes the researcher determined a weighted score for each activity in order to establish a rank order for leaders and a rank order for staff. Weighted activity score = sum of the inverted rankings multiplied by the number of respondents for each ranking.

\*\*Rank order of the ten management activities; 1 = activity of most importance, 10 = activity of least importance.

3. Further studies should be conducted to determine the perceptions of higher administrators, such as deans, of the importance and implementation of the management functions and activities in agricultural teacher education programs.

### REFERENCES

Everett, Susan F. "The Importance and implementation of Management \
Functions and Activities in Agricultural Teacher Education Programs."
Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Fowa State University, 1981.

Mackenzie, R. Alec. "The Management Process in 3-D." Harvard Business Review, 1969, Vol. 47, pp. 80, 87.

